

AMERICANS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY



VICTORY

P. O. BOX 1622
WASHINGTON 13, D. C.
LI 6.5612

BOARD OF ENDORSERS

COL. F. W. ALSTAETTER,
USA RET.
BRIG. GENERAL W. P. CAMPBELL,
USA RET.
ADMIRAL CHARLES M. COOKE,
USN RET.
COL. CURTIS B. DALL,
USAFR RET.
ADMIRAL CHARLES S. FREEMAN,
USN RET.
REVILO P. OLIVER, PH.D.
REAR ADMIRAL E. D. MCEATHRON,
USN RET.
ADMIRAL J. W. REEVES,
USN RET.

June 14, 1963

Col. Curtis B. Dall
LIBERTY LOBBY
918 East Capitol Street
Washington 2, D. C.

Dear Curt:

We have been "taking inventory" in recent days of events of the past six months and the part which AFNS and LIBERTY LOBBY have played in "conditioning" some of these events on Capitol Hill.

January was cold and bleak except for the "hot-air" from Congressional liberals pushing unilateral disarmament and boasting of the "achievements" of the U. S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency. However, discerning and militant patriots were already planning their strategy to fight the "surrender crowd".

Our letter (which LIBERTY LOBBY published on February 5th) brought a tremendous flood of mail. Thousands of Americans wrote of their support of our efforts to emasculate and cripple all attempts to disarm the United States.

Senator Carl Curtis and Congressman Omar Burleson then introduced the Burleson-Curtis Joint Resolution in Congress. More than forty members of Congress have now formally endorsed this Concurrent Resolution. Again we appealed through LIBERTY LOBBY for grassroots support. Senators and Congressmen alike have told us of the tremendous avalanche of letters urging support of this legislative measure which continues to pour into their offices from every state of the nation.

Having the Burleson-Curtis Resolution introduced and so warmly welcomed by federal legislators was, in the eyes of AFNS, the first successful step towards an all-out attack on the disarmament policies of the Kennedy Administration.

In the midst of our rejoicing we discovered that Messers. Humphrey, Randolph and Clark were introducing S. 777 to give the Disarmament Agency unlimited funds to pursue their campaign of

"peace at any price" with American taxpayers paying for their own destruction. The bill was all set for an effortless trip through the Senate!

Today we see that Humphrey's blank-check bill has been drastically pruned as the direct result of your strong Emergency LIBERTY LETTER of April 26th. The wave of outraged public protest which resulted from this alert so impressed the Foreign Relations Committee that S. 777 was amended with a CEILING of ten million dollars on it (the same amount as last year) and a provision forbidding the Agency from lobbying on any measure before Congress! And this, of course, was what LIBERTY LOBBY supporters asked. This is a great victory!

The Burleson-Curtis Resolution is stalled in the House Foreign Affairs Committee and the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. I hope your supporters will write immediately to the Chairmen of these committees, Congressman Thomas Morgan and Senator J. William Fulbright, urging that immediate hearings be held on the Burleson-Curtis Resolution.

Finally, our review of the exciting past six months would not be complete unless we paid tribute to the spirit of sacrificial cooperation between the staffs of AFNS and LIBERTY LOBBY. We could not have gotten off to a good start without the free rent and the loan of staff experts and office materials which your organization so freely gave. The response of your members to our appeal for help has truly been amazing. The tide of letters to Congress and the necessary funds for expenses have largely come from your wonderful organization.

As to the future--we cannot tell. AFNS has stirred up the hatred of powerful liberal forces including some religious groups permeated by modernists and tinged in Communist red. Yet we are confident that loyal and patriotic citizens will continue to fight for a Strong Nation as the Best Defense against Communism.

I wish that I could express personally to all LIBERTY LOBBY supporters our most sincere thanks for their cooperation and enthusiasm. Since this is manifestly impossible, please convey, as you find possible, this message of thanks to those who have joined us in fighting the treasonous "peace at any price" crowd.

Best personal good wishes,

Sincerely,

Stanley M. Andrews

STANLEY M. ANDREWS
Executive Director

SMA/rbb



VICTORY

AMERICANS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY

BOARD OF ENDORSERS

COL. F. W. ALSTAETTER,
USA RET.

BRIG. GENERAL W. P. CAMPBELL,
USA RET.

ADMIRAL CHARLES M. COOKE,
USN RET.

ADMIRAL CHARLES S. FREEMAN,
USN RET.

REVILO P. OLIVER, PH.D.

REAR ADMIRAL E. D. MCEATHRON,
USN RET.

ADMIRAL J. W. REEVES,
USN RET.

300 INDEPENDENCE AVE., S. E.

WASHINGTON 3, D. C.

LI 63919

October 15, 1963

Dear Patriot:

Last April we wrote you of our plans and told you frankly that unless immediate help was received we would be unable to continue the fight here in Washington against the Disarmament Conspiracy. Thankfully, you responded and we fought on, and this long letter is meant to be a progress report to you--to lay before you the matured dividends of your investment in the hope that you will find that you made a wise decision.

As a patriot, you are aware of the fatal Death Trap for America as outlined in Kennedy's Disarmament Scheme. You know as well as I that if these proposals are adopted our army, navy and air force will be reduced to a counter-insurgency militia, and used only "To maintain internal order." The United Nations will then rule the world with its brutal "Peace" Force, ever ready to ruthlessly crush out freedom no matter at what cost in blood, precisely as it did in the Congo.

Stripped of all its pretty words, this is what Disarmament really means. It is the most important issue of the hour. Even Congress is beginning to realize it. Last month, Senator Russell (D-Ga), one of the most powerful men in the Senate, warned that disarmament leads directly to world government. As General MacArthur said, "Peace can be obtained at least temporarily by any nation if it is prepared to yield its freedom. But peace at any price--peace with appeasement--peace which passes the dreadful finality to future generations--is a peace of shame and sham which can end only in war or slavery."

We are all aware of the statement by Dimitri Manuilsky, Communist representative at the United Nations:

War to the hilt between communism and capitalism is inevitable. . . To win we shall need the element of surprise. The bourgeoisie will have to be put to sleep. So we shall begin by launching the most spectacular peace movement on record. . . The capitalist countries, stupid and decadent, will rejoice to cooperate in their own destruction. . . As soon as their guard is down we shall smash them . . .

The forces within which seek to trick America into accepting false peace through disarmament are massive. The initiative comes from subversive groups such as the Communist Party and the Council on Foreign Relations. It is faithfully parroted by the State Department, the press, enormously wealthy foundations which have grown fat with the profits of free enterprise, leftist church groups such as the National Council of Churches which delight in joining with atheists and communists on every opportunity.

Those of us who oppose surrender to communism are faced with tremendous odds in fighting the satanic forces of great wealth and false teaching.

AMERICANS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY was organized for one purpose--to expose this appeasement plot and to fight those who gnaw at our Ship of State. We put ACTION first and foremost, convinced that God helps those who help themselves! And finally, we know that Washington, D.C. is where the laws are made.

It is frightening to realize that if there had been no AFNS there would have been little organized opposition to the attempts by liberal senators headed by Hubert Humphrey (D-Minn) to push through his bill (S.777) to give tremendous power and unlimited money to the Disarmament Agency. AFNS alerted patriotic groups to the danger of S.777 and later helped organize citizens' opposition to the Moscow Treaty. Without AFNS patriots would have had little representation. This is why AFNS is important to you.

AFNS today is recognized by the Administration as the chief opponent to its appeasement plans. We have attained that status because of careful planning and consistent follow-through. It all began a year ago when a group of patriots met with certain legislative officials from both sides of the aisle. It was recognized that this is a bi-partisan issue, and discussion further developed that there was a need not for "just another" patriotic group but for a small, dynamic and full-time staff which could serve as an "Alarm Bell" for all existing patriotic groups, and which would be a militant agency at all times actively opposing the legislative appeasement of communism.

Several months of study followed, and in February AFNS endorsed the Burleson-Curtis Resolution. This was meant to be a "First Step", and provided guidelines to be followed in regard to disarmament measures. One of the most important sections specified that the President must submit all disarmament agreements to the Senate in the form of treaties.

Quickly, support for the Resolution came from leading senators and representatives. Senators Curtis, Beall, Bennett, Dominick, Fong, Goldwater, Hruska, Jordan, Lausche, McClellan, Mecham, Randolph, Robertson, Scott, Simpson, Thurmond, Tower, Williams and Young (N.D.) and twenty-one members of the House, including prime sponsor Omar Burleson (D-Tex), supported it.

The appeasers, led by Humphrey, made many vocal attacks on the Burleson-Curtis Resolution and surprisingly one or two sincere patriotic groups were misled by a wilful misinterpretation of the intent of the Resolution. Proof of our sincerity is supplied by a bare recital of subsequent events on Capitol Hill and the strange silence of our attackers who have not appeared to testify against the appeasers. Where were their voices when the chips were down?

Militant action was required when the ubiquitous Hubert Humphrey introduced the infamous S.777. This provided for permanent status for the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency (ACDA) and provided that "all monies necessary" be given by the Treasury to operate the agency. This was a "blank check".

AFNS immediately went into action and alerted patriots. Thanks mainly to the helpful intervention of LIBERTY LOBBY which sent out an emergency release to all of its subscribers, thousands of letters were received by members of the Foreign Relations Committee and by senators in all states. It soon became evident that Humphrey and his friends had overreached themselves in the grab for power and federal funds represented by S.777.

A staff member of AFNS went on record during the hearings before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee opposing this "open end" financing plan and urging that ACDA appropriations be cut radically. Victory came when the Committee reported out a bill eliminating the "blank check" method of financing and recommending an appropriation of \$15 million for each of the next two years.

S.777 came from the Senate emasculated and crippled. ACDA was not made permanent, the appropriation was further cut to \$10 million per year and, most important, Senator Lausche's amendment which eliminated the doubtful language of the Disarmament Act (one of the major purposes of the Burleson-Curtis Resolution) was adopted.

Shortly after, President Kennedy was asked if he intended to submit any proposed disarmament treaty to the Senate and he had to answer that he would, aware of the congressional sentiment favoring the Lausche Amendment.

In the House the Administration bill for ACDA was introduced in language similar to the original Humphrey Bill, and patriots began another avalanche of letters and wires in opposition, urging the adoption of the amended S.777. At this writing these hearings are still continuing, reflecting the increased caution regarding disarmament to be found today in Congress. Extensive hearings have been conducted. The other side has been represented by a dozen or more leftist or communist-front groups whereas the side for America has been taken only by AFNS and LIBERTY LOBBY.

Here is a portion of our statement given to the House Foreign Affairs Committee on September 17:

AFNS believes that efforts to seek peace are essential in the thermo-nuclear age. Those engaged in such a serious pursuit, however, should not be spending their time in efforts to build up a new Federal bureaucracy whose objectives are not even foreseeable in the next two decades.

We believe that such necessary research rightfully belongs in the custody of the men at the Pentagon who know best the military and economic strategies of the enemy and are indoctrinated with a philosophy of "winning."

Americans for National Security is opposed to the administration bill which provides some \$15 million for the U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency for each of the next 2 years. It is our hope that your committee will adopt rather the provisions of S. 777 as finally passed by the Senate, and which limits the expenditures of the Agency to the sum of \$10 million for each of the next 2 fiscal years.

We commend the bill adopted by the Senate since it does not make ACDA a permanent agency. It is our belief that the research work and the negotiations needed for any general disarmament plan can best be accomplished by and under the direction of the Department of Defense.

In the question period following a significant exchange took place with Dr. Thomas Morgan (D-Pa), chairman of the Committee:

Mr. ANDREWS.

The organization was conceived by a number of people interested in this field and after we determined our position, which eventually became what is now called the Burleson-Curtis resolution, then we contacted various retired military men around the country, had them endorse it, and we have been working since on that basis.

Chairman MORGAN. Mr. Andrews, of course the Lausche amendment is already incorporated in S. 777.

Mr. ANDREWS. That is right; we commend that.

Chairman MORGAN. Am I to understand that you endorse the present bill, because of the Lausche amendment?

Mr. ANDREWS. No, no; not necessarily. We commend it because we feel that the original bill, as you are well acquainted with, provided for open-end financing. Then in the committee there was an agreement that it would be \$15 million per year for the next 2 years, and then on the floor of the Senate that was further reduced to \$10 million a year.

We feel that since that \$10 million for each of 2 years represents a 100-percent increase in your original appropriation that the \$10 million for each of the 2 years is a compromise in favor of the Agency.

It is our hope at this time that the House will adopt S. 777 in its entirety, as amended, in order to avoid sending the bill to conference. In this case it is almost certain that the resulting compromise would be in our disfavor, as the conferees are appointed by the respective committee chairman and would not be friends of AFNS. Barring an unexpected reversal, we anticipate a most welcome and needed victory for the conservative Cause; we will have prevented ACDA from securing permanent status and will have saved the taxpayers at least ten million dollars, not to mention the passage of, in effect, one-third of the Burleson-Curtis Resolution. We have not done all we would like to do or that should be done, but we have done more than any other anti-communist group.

While S. 777 was leisurely proceeding through Congress the Disarmers struck their most wicked blow for the cause of Appeasement. Unexpectedly--in view of the Soviet's previous attitude--secret and rapid steps were taken to sign a test ban treaty. A host of "news analysts" wisely explained to a beguiled and misled American people that the Kremlin butchers needed the treaty in order to handle the "split" with Red China. It was hailed as the Dawn of a New Day. Humphrey cooed in ecstasy, "This is my hour of fulfillment." Responsible and sincere Americans were alarmed.

This story is fresh in our minds, and we are all aware of the tremendous fight patriots put up throughout the country, against enormous odds. But in Washington, AFNS and LIBERTY LOBBY were standing virtually alone before the hostile Senate Foreign Relations Committee. On August 23 AFNS testified. A portion:

The statement which was published in Pravda, in reply to the August 15 attack on Soviet policy by Peiping, said that for years it would have been against Soviet interests to have a test ban unless the United States agreed to destroy all its nuclear weapons. This, of course, the United States refused to do.

But, now, it added--

With the new balance of strength, the nuclear test ban would perpetuate not the American nuclear monopoly, but the fact of its liquidation; not the unilateral advantage of the imperialist camp, but the new balance of strength in the area of nuclear weapons.

Many of us reading the above statement, find in it the thread of the Communist design for world domination. There is no indication that the ratification of this treaty will lessen Khrushchev's determination to "bury the United States."

It is our sincere belief that the ratification of this treaty will enhance the opportunities which the Kremlin is seeking to achieve its ultimate design for world domination. It is our hope and prayer that this committee and the Senate will reject this treaty and, thus, put a block in the way of communism's supreme objective--world conquest. As another witness has well said: "This treaty is not a path to peace but a step forward toward a war of survival which we can lose."

The vote of 80-19 was disappointing mainly because of the turncoat "conservative" Republicans who voted for Appeasement. In a brief summary like this we can only ask, what were the pressures, threats or promises which led to such betrayal? Was the scent of a Red "gold-for-wheat" deal wafted under the sensitive nostrils of these gentlemen from farm states?

Special mention of the courage of Democrats who voted against Kennedy should be made (while most Republicans ran like scared rabbits). Sen. Russell's determined opposition led to adoption of his amendment which set forth that any further amendment to the treaty would have to be in the form of treaties.

This sums up the work of AFNS during its first year--a year which has demonstrated the need for and value of our work. The next twelve months will be of critical importance to all Americans. The press is already warming up the public for further appeasement steps which are planned. A non-aggression pact which will overturn our defense commitments and a proposed U.S.-Soviet trip to the moon which will disclose to the Reds all of our present rocket technology are lurking ahead. New trade agreements with Tito will probably be announced even before you read these lines. All of these point to the big job ahead.

Furthermore, 1964 is an election year and the Administration will need some dramatic demonstrations of new frontierism to attract votes. We fear that these imminent displays will be in the field of disarmament and appeasement.

AFNS is now making plans to combat the appeasers. Strategists are now studying proposed drafts of a resolution to be sponsored on a bi-partisan basis by patriotic congressmen. Congress will respond increasingly if there is a growing groundswell of approval for this legislation at the grass-roots level. We must have your help to generate this grass-roots support.

We have endeavored here to give you an honest, sober appraisal of what the situation was in 1963 and what we have to look forward to in '64. Our task is awesome, and the forces against us have the power and the money. The press is their toy and they rule the waves of TV and radio. Yet we know that if every patriot in our beloved Nation will do his part we can win this battle for survival.

AFNS is fortunate in having had patriotic and loyal friends the past year. But again we have exhausted our resources, and even small bills for postage, printing and phone are going unpaid.

1964 will be a turning point in American history. We will either turn the tide of communist slavery which is inundating America or we will pass beyond the point of no return. Lyndon Johnson has predicted that disarmament will be a big issue in the next election. This means that those opposing disarmament will be under heavy and vicious attack. AFNS must be strengthened as never before. "There is a tide in the affairs of men which if taken at flood leads to victory."

This is the challenge of our letter. Will you do your part? We simply must have funds at once if we are to effectively commit ourselves to battle.

As a climax to this first year's work AFNS has collaborated on the production of a sensational expose of the Appeasement Treaty called The Moscow Treaty. This tells the truth about the background, political intrigue and the final betrayal of the American people by the appeasers and the 80 senators who supported it. Nothing like this has ever been written before. The writers are men who have watched the unfoldment of this conspiracy and they reveal the facts which you will need to battle intelligently against disarmament.

The Moscow Treaty is not for sale at any price, but we will be pleased to send a copy to all patriots who contribute two dollars OR MORE to AFNS. You will, by your gift, be providing the sinews of strength for the coming historic battle. Please use the enclosed coupon and send in your contribution at once. This is your battle--it is the fight of every American. Will you help us--please--with your contribution today so that we may go forward with our '64 campaign . . . In the High Cause of national security, I am,

Stanley M. Andrews
Stanley Andrews
Chairman



VICTORY

AMERICANS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY

300 INDEPENDENCE AVE., S. E.

WASHINGTON 3, D. C.

LI 63919

May 5, 1965

BOARD OF ENDORSERS

COL. F. W. ALSTAETTER
USA RET.
MAJ. GEN. ROBERT BLAKE
USMC RET.
BRIG. GEN. W. P. CAMPBELL
USA RET.
ADM. CHARLES M. COOKE
USN RET.
COL. WALTER A. ELLIOTT
USA RET.
VICE ADM. C. S. FREEMAN
USN RET.
COL. JOSEPH J. IMHOFF
USA RET.
COL. RALPH E. JONES
USA RET.
REAR ADM. E. D. MCEATHRON
USN RET.
BRIG. GEN. R. B. MORAN
USA RET.
COL. WALTER P. O'BRIEN
USA RET.
REVILO P. OLIVER, PH.D.
ADMIRAL J. W. REEVES
USN RET.
BRIG. GEN. JAMES M. SMITH
USMC RET.
MAJ. GEN. LEIGH WADE
USA RET.

Dear Patriot and Friend:

On February 12 AFNS sent a letter to patriots over the Nation warning that the Johnson Administration had proposed a four year extension of the U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency and planned to give it fifty-five million tax dollars. Since the press has given little mention to this important legislative battle, I am sure you will want to know what happened and why.

The House Foreign Affairs Committee, packed with liberals, obediently reported the Bill to the House with amazing swiftness. No opponents were permitted to testify. Conservatives fought on the Floor against the proposal. Then, when Speaker McCormack announced that the Disarm Agency would accept a compromise of three years and forty million dollars, some Conservative congressmen gave up the fight! We could have won if the GOP members hadn't given up!

Then your letters and telegrams of protest flooded members of the Senate. For the first time, numerous patriotic groups joined with us to present witnesses opposing the Bill before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. Senator Fulbright, the ultra-liberal chairman, publicly complained of the throng of patriots who appeared and testified. As a result of these patriotic protests the committee reported out a bill recommending that the Disarm Agency be cut to two years and twenty million dollars.

I was in the Senate gallery when the debate and vote took place and personally witnessed Vice President Hubert H. Humphrey, proud father of the Agency, who, instead of presiding over the Senate (his constitutional duty) instead spent the time visiting senators on the floor, smiling as he buttonholed them, seeking votes for his pet project. But when the Senate sustained the Committee's recommendations his smile faded and he glared in anger at this personal rebuff.

Since the House and Senate bills differed, a special committee, composed almost entirely of liberal senators and congressmen, met in secret session to compromise. Its discussions were off-the-record and what hidden motives and pressures acted upon these men is unknown, but they voted to extend the Disarmament Agency for three years and gave it thirty million dollars.

GAINS MADE

What did we accomplish? First, we saved the taxpayers twenty five million dollars. Secondly, for the first time many patriotic groups united and joined with us to protest. Thirdly, the Senate debate exposed for the first time the public distrust of the Disarm Agency and its so-called "research contracts" which suggest plans for giving up our national sovereignty and setting up one-world government under the guise of "peace." The threat and evil potential of the Disarm Agency was made clear and the surrenderers and appeasers were smoked out from behind their "peace at any price" cover.

The success of your anti-disarmament lobby on Capitol Hill is really your success! Your letters to the senators and your contributions made the battle possible. We extend to you our heart-felt thanks. We can only fight against the Disarm Agency as you back us with your letters and contributions.

An important staff member of the Disarm Agency said to me, "Well, you can rest now for three years and then attack us again." I replied, "We're going to continue the fight on a daily basis for the next three years." But even as I said this, I thought of the obstacles ahead.

Already the appeasers are moving forward. Washington was invaded Easter Saturday by more than 15,000 beatniks who picketed the White House urging that the U.S. get out of Vietnam. Many of the students admitted they had participated in the so-called "Civil Rights" demonstrations in Selma and Montgomery. Some boldly distributed Communist literature. The Civil Righters are now "Peace Demonstrators." The Communists have endorsed both issues.

While the "peace creeps" and phony pacifists march for Communism, our boys in Vietnam die for freedom. Defense Secretary McNamara, following the disarmament plan laid down by the State Department, fails to supply proper weapons. U. S. News & World Report asks, "Is Vietnam a 'junkyard' for U.S. Arms?", and tells of planes so old that they fall apart in the air, a shortage of bombs, first aid kits, radio equipment, grenades and even bullets so old and wrong-size that they will not fire. And yet the Johnson Administration takes our money--fifty billion dollars of it every year--for "defense"! With our destiny in such hands, is it any mystery that Red China and the Soviet Union feel that they can bluff us out of Vietnam?

FINAL DISARMAMENT STEP

Even more shocking is the revelation that a group of ultra-Left senators and congressmen are now pushing a new concurrent resolution which would request the President to "ESTABLISH AN INTERNATIONAL AUTHORITY TO KEEP THE PEACE UNDER CONDITIONS OF GENERAL AND COMPLETE DISARMAMENT EFFECTIVELY GUARANTEED BY ADEQUATE INSPECTION AND CONTROLS!" The Resolution continues:

"In formulating such proposals, the President is requested to consider whether the development of effective INTERNATIONAL MACHINERY for the supervision of disarmament and the maintenance of peace, including (1) AN INTERNATIONAL DISARMAMENT ORGANIZATION; (2) A PERMANENT WORLD PEACE FORCE; (3) WORLD TRIBUNALS for the peaceful settlement of all international disputes not settled by negotiations; (4) Other INTERNATIONAL institutions necessary for the ENFORCEMENT OF WORLD PEACE under the rule of law; and (5) Appropriate and reliable FINANCIAL

arrangements for the SUPPORT of such peacekeeping machinery, may best be achieved by REVISION of the Charter of the United Nations, by a NEW TREATY, or by a combination of the two."

This Concurrent Resolution (S. Con. Res. 32) was introduced in the Senate by liberal Senator Joe Clark (D-Pa). Co-sponsors are Senators Bartlett, Bayh, Burdick, Church, Brewster, Hart, Inouye, Javits, Long of Missouri, McGee, McGovern, Mondale, Morse, Moss, Neuberger, Pell, Proxmire, Randolph, Tydings, Williams of New Jersey, and Young of Ohio.

An identical resolution has been offered in the House sponsored by Representatives George J. Brown, Jr., Don Edwards, Leonard Farbstein, Donald M. Fraser, Edith Green, Seymour Halpern, Robert W. Kastenmeier, William F. Ryan and Benjamin S. Rosenthal.

These resolutions must be defeated. We must begin this battle now!

WE WILL SUE THE GRAVEDIGGERS

While this fight opens in the legislative halls, another one will be opening in the U.S. Courts, where AFNS intends to file a taxpayers suit charging the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency with violation of Public Law 85-766 section 1602 which states "no part of the funds appropriated in this or any other Act shall be used to pay (1) any person, firm or corporation, or any combinations of persons, firms or corporations, to conduct a study or to plan when or how or in what circumstances the Government of the United States should surrender this country and its people to any foreign power....."

It is our sincere belief that the U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency is violating this provision of the law and we believe our point of view should have a hearing in the courts.

Frankly, we have spent our budget in the past months with the thought that the fight on the ACDA appropriation and extension would be our major battle in this first session of the 89th Congress. However, the Clark-ultra liberal cabal's Concurrent Resolution is more threatening to our national security than the existence of the ACDA. It is the essence of all the surrender-one world philosophy ever proposed by the left-wing of the Democratic party. Our proposed lawsuit is a financial challenge to us. We must hire competent constitutional lawyers and be prepared for a lengthy legal battle.

If we are to go forward to defeat the new left-wing resolution and to fight ACDA in the courts it will require the utmost in energy, staff, research consultants and legal expense. These two new objectives for AFNS in 1965 are important and vital. Their success will depend not only on AFNS and its hard-hitting staff but also on your willingness to be participants -- not just spectators in the battle. We will need your letters and telegrams of protest and we will need your generous financial support. Surely our work in the past has merited your confidence.

The appeasers and disarmers are moving forward, after their half-defeat in Congress. Smarting under their chastisement and our success they are seeking new power and new avenues to bring down our national sovereignty and honor.

We must act NOW! With your help we will. Will you do your part as a patriot and support AFNS with your prayers, your letters and telegrams and with your gifts and contributions? We are not a tax-exempt foundation (only the left-wing groups can qualify now), we have no Walter Reuther or labor union "daddy." We must depend on dedicated and loyal citizens who still believe that America is worth fighting for, and worth sacrificing and giving to save. Do it today!

Sincerely and in faith,

Stanley M. Andrews

Stanley M. Andrews
Executive Chairman

P.S. We have prepared a special 16-page booklet, taken mostly from official hearings, which dramatizes the fight raging here against the Disarmers. Our statements to the committees are reported side by side with the weak defense of those who seek to do away with our military. One of the falsehoods uttered by the "gravediggers" was that the infamous State Department Document 7277, which outlines the three-stage disarmament program, is no longer policy. This document proves irrefutably that Document 7277 IS the guiding policy for the Johnson Administration. If your contribution is five dollars or more, we will send you a copy of this document (titled, LET'S LOOK AT THE RECORD) as a small evidence of our appreciation. Please note also that we are still selling many books and pamphlets which merit wide circulation. Your purchases have enabled us to distribute the truth to hundreds of thousands of people, and have helped provide the financial sinew for our fight.

FROM _____

PLACE
STAMP
HERE

AMERICANS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY
P. O. BOX 1622
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20013

1967

AMERICANS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY

P. O. Box 1622, Washington, D.C. 20013

Dear Rev. Andrews:

Indeed I do want to help "get" McNamara and prove to the Communist enemy that we intend to WIN! The largest contribution I can make for this important project is enclosed. Please send me a free copy of the 128-page, documented book exposing McNamara's infamous record.

I enclose:

☐ \$1000 ☐ \$500 ☐ \$200 ☐ \$100 ☐ \$50 ☐ \$20 ☐ \$10 ☐ \$5 ☐ \$ _____

A01560 S 10 68 G
MR SCANLON
16 SELDON ST
DORCHESTER MASS 02124

IS THIS
ADDRESS
CORRECT?

Make Checks Payable to AFNS



VICTORY

**McNAMARA
MUST
GO!**

**WE CAN'T WIN A WAR WITH A
NO-WIN POLICY. McNAMARA
MUST BE FIRED . . . HIS REC-
ORD MUST BE EXPOSED . . . THE
ENEMY MUST KNOW THAT WE
INTEND TO WIN. THIS RE-
QUIRES A NATIONAL PUBLICITY
AND EDUCATIONAL CAMPAIGN.**

HOW MUCH CAN YOU GIVE?

11/25/67



VICTORY

AMERICANS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY

P.O. BOX 1622

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20013

LI. 3-1776

BOARD OF ENDORSERS

COL. F. W. ALSTAETTER
USA RET.
MAJ. GEN. ROBERT BLAKE
USMC RET.
BRIG. GEN. W. P. CAMPBELL
USA RET.
ADM. CHARLES M. COOKE
USN RET.
COL. WALTER A. ELLIOTT
USA RET.
VICE ADM. C. S. FREEMAN
USN RET.
COL. JOSEPH J. IMHOFF
USA RET.
COL. RALPH E. JONES
USA RET.
REAR ADM. E. D. MCEATHRON
USN RET.
BRIG. GEN. R. B. MORAN
USA RET.
COL. WALTER P. O'BRIEN
USA RET.
REVILO P. OLIVER, PH.D.
ADMIRAL J. W. REEVES
USN RET.
BRIG. GEN. JAMES M. SMITH
USMC RET.
MAJ. GEN. LEIGH WADE
USA RET.

MCNAMARA MUST GO!

November 25, 1967

Fellow Angry American:

A stalemate war with a tenth-rate nation--it's already lasted longer than World Wars I and II! Our finest youth butchered in their prime and for what? Spending and debt skyrocket to pay for it!

GIs equipped with rifles and ammunition that will not fire--aircraft, naval shortages. While losing a no-win war 7000 miles from our shore, McNamara continues to degrade and weaken our defense. Castro, 90 miles away, squats with Soviet missiles trained on our cities.

Regardless of how you or I may feel about our involvement in Vietnam, every American must support our flesh and blood who are fighting and dying in the steaming jungles. Anything else is TREASON, just as the Constitution says!

If there is any one person most responsible for the mess we are in, it is Robert Strange McNamara, the worst Secretary of Defense in American history. This man is a proven liar. He is a pacifist--a visionary fanatic who is secretly but surely working to disarm America and merge us with the Soviet enemy. The person who gave him his job was Adam Yarmolinsky, one-time communist, a security risk.

My friend, let me warn you that if these plain words are too strong for you, it is time that you learn the facts before it is too late!

MCNAMARA MUST GO!

McNamara is the symbol of no-win. He must be FIRED! WE CAN'T WIN UNTIL WE CONVINCE THE ENEMY THAT WE MEAN TO WIN. Our own tragic lack of the will to win is the Communist's greatest asset. If tomorrow the Kremlin realized that we mean to win--and will stop at nothing to win--the war would be over in a week!

(over)

Nothing would have a more devastating effect on Moscow and Hanoi than firing McNamara. Nothing could better demonstrate that we have decided to win.

"But," you object, "Johnson will appoint someone else just as bad!" No, I do not think he will. First and foremost, Johnson is a politician and will do what he thinks the voters want. When he understands that the people demand VICTORY in Vietnam, he will get rid of McNamara and appoint someone who can do the job.

If only enough Americans would discover the truth about this man in time to break his hold over Lyndon Johnson, America can not only be saved from the Soviet threat . . . but the future peace of the world can be won!

That's why we are going all-out to "get" McNamara. One thing we are going to do is to distribute the new book about him just published by Liberty Lobby. The book tells about the "almost hypnotic power" exercised over the President by the man who is doing his utmost to disarm America. It tells just what McNamara is doing, and why. It reveals and documents McNamara's sponsorship of a little-known worldwide pagan "religious" cult, which hopes to come to power under the new World Government.

I want you to read this book. I want your neighbors and friends to read this book. Most of all, I want Americans to read this book who have never before read any "Conservative" book . . . who are not even aware of the danger faced by this Nation!

For this reason we at AMERICANS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY are going to see to it that the maximum number of Americans get the truth about McNamara. We have prepared a full-page newspaper ad, spotlighting the facts contained in the enclosed yellow brochure. These facts alone will open the eyes of millions. We intend to place this ad in every major newspaper in America . . . but, WE NEED YOUR HELP!

There is so little time. Because of Robert Strange McNamara, we are sacrificing our young men, our National Honor--even our Nation itself--on the altar of this fruitless war. The cost of getting rid of McNamara is a small price to pay by comparison. Will you pay it?

YOUR GENEROUS SHARE OF THE EXPENSE OF THIS CAMPAIGN IS URGENTLY NEEDED. Please contribute to the limit of your ability. Your contribution will be immediately applied to the cost of this nationwide expose of McNamara. We await your response.


Sincerely,

Stanley M. Andrews

Stanley M. Andrews
Chairman, AFNS

P.S. No matter how much or how little you can help, YOU must read this book, too, so I will put one in the mail to you as an acknowledgement of your gift.

SPECIAL REPORT



AN INDICTMENT . . .

**FACTS THAT WILL OPEN THE EYES
OF MILLIONS!**

From the revealing new Special Report on Robert Strange McNamara . . . just a FEW of the damning accusations that are DOCUMENTED, PROVEN reasons why, **IF AMERICA IS TO SURVIVE . . . McNAMARA MUST GO!**

The following is reprinted from the October, 1967 issue of Liberty Lowdown, published by LIBERTY LOBBY and sent without charge to all pledgers of monthly support.

ROBERT STRANGE McNAMARA: AN INDICTMENT

Robert Strange McNamara, Lyndon Johnson's "Secretary of Disarmament," is, more than any other individual, responsible for the present condition of America's defense establishment. An examination of his strange record indicts him for intentionally disarming America at a time when the strongest possible defense is essential to our survival. Any one of the counts in this indictment would be sufficient to warrant McNamara's dismissal. The record taken as a whole shows beyond any doubt that, if America is to survive, McNAMARA MUST BE FIRED NOW!

1. *Skybolt*—In early 1963, Secretary McNamara ordered cancellation of the Skybolt air-to-ground missile, which would enable our bombers to strike enemy targets without flying over enemy soil, or within range of enemy anti-aircraft weapons.

2. *Dyna-soar Orbital Spacecraft*—This valuable weapon, designed at a cost of \$400 million to carry nuclear weapons into orbit and glide back to earth under its pilot's control to land on airfields, was cancelled by McNamara ten days after Lyndon Johnson became President.

3. *Pluto Supersonic Missile*—Described by space experts Phyllis Schlafly and Adm. Chester Ward as the "most powerful single

weapon yet conceived," it was designed to fly around the world ten times at 2000 miles per hour, at an altitude low enough to avoid anti-aircraft and anti-ballistic missile defenses. Development cancelled by Robert Strange McNamara!

4. *Atlas Missile*—These missiles, capable of carrying powerful nuclear warheads, are being scrapped by McNamara. Their supposed replacement, the Minuteman Missile, can carry warheads only one sixth as powerful as the Atlas.

5. *Minuteman Mobile Missile Bases*—McNamara cancelled the plan to have Minuteman intercontinental missiles mounted on mobile launching sites so as to be safe from enemy attack. The only Minutemen we have at present are exposed to attack by more powerful Soviet missiles.

6. *COIN-LARA Aircraft*—McNamara inexcusably delayed production of this airplane, designed for close support of ground troops, in spite of repeated requests from military leaders, starting as far back as 1962. Lack of any airplane designed for this purpose has caused needless loss of life among American troops in Vietnam.

7. *B-70 Bomber*—Developed after ten years at a cost of \$1.5 billion, this bomber would have been three times faster than the B-52. It was cancelled by McNamara!

8. *Presently Existing Bombers*—Meanwhile, production has been stopped on the B-58, B-52, and B-47 bombers. No new bombers are under development or construction.

9. *Grounding of SAC*—Robert Strange McNamara has ended the Strategic Air

Command's airborne alert, which has kept 10 to 30 percent of our nuclear bombing force in the air on a 24-hour basis. He did this in the face of a unanimous Joint Chiefs of Staff recommendation that the airborne alert be continued.

10. *Phasing Out SAC* — Not satisfied with reducing SAC's effectiveness, McNamara plans to phase it out entirely by 1972. Rep. Craig Hosmer (R-Cal.) points out that this McNamara cutback of strategic bombers "will reduce the country's strategic nuclear power to the point where it may not be enough to deter the Soviet Union from attacking."

11. *TFX—F-111*—To replace the multitude of planes he is scrapping, McNamara proposes the F-111, supposedly an all-purpose fighter and bomber. Actually, the F-111 is merely another name for the notorious TFX, otherwise known as McNamara's Flying Edsel. The plane has turned out to be virtually useless, as it is too heavy to be used on aircraft carriers, and its speed and range are less than those for presently existing aircraft. Gen. Curtis E. LeMay points out that it is "too small and too slow for the job."

12. *Anti-Ballistic Missile*—In spite of the fact that the Soviet Union has apparently developed an effective anti-missile defense, Robert Strange McNamara refuses to permit development of an American ABM, which could save 80 million American lives in the event of a Soviet nuclear attack. While refusing to develop the advanced Nike-X system, McNamara now proposes to waste \$5 billion on a 'limited' ABM system which even he admits would be useless in the face of Soviet attack.

13. *Chemical and Bacteriological Weap-*

ons Systems—In the words of Adm. Cecil Coggins, former Chief of Atomic Bacteriological and Chemical Warfare for the Navy, McNamara has "neglected to develop an offensive capability in chemical war" and has "no defense worthy of the name. . . . In biological warfare . . . we have neither an offensive nor a defensive capability."

14. *Nuclear Powered Fleet* — In spite of repeated demands from Congress, and from naval experts such as Adm. Hyman Rickover, McNamara has blocked the construction of vitally needed nuclear-powered submarines and aircraft carriers. As a result, vessels presently being constructed, such as the USS *John F. Kennedy*, are obsolete before putting in a day's service.

15. *Scuttling of the Savannah*—Secretary McNamara is desperately attempting to deactivate the nuclear-powered freighter *Savannah*, built at the cost of \$100 million, even though the cost of operating it is less than that of maintaining it in mothballs, and it is badly needed to transport supplies to Vietnam.

16. *Typhon* — Robert Strange McNamara was responsible for development of the Typhon weapons system, which turned out to be so large that it could not be installed in any of the ships for which it was intended. According to Rep. Robert Sikes (D-Fla.) this McNamara failure means that "the Navy still does not have a first-class air defense system with which to cope with modern aircraft."

17. *Paul Nitze*—McNamara harbors as his Deputy Defense Secretary Paul Nitze, who is on record as stating, among other things, that "the U.S. should suspend nu-

clear tests, unilaterally if necessary,” and that “U.S. nuclear superiority over the Soviets was not an advantage to the U.S.”

18. *Closing of Shipyards* — In 1962, Secretary McNamara told a House committee that ships had become largely unnecessary for defense transport purposes and stated that future wars would be supplied by air. Today it is estimated that 98 percent of materiel bound for Vietnam goes by ship, and cargoes bound for Vietnam are piling up in American ports, but McNamara's solution is to close more shipyards and further weaken the American Merchant Marine.

19. *Reserve Forces Reorganization* — Secretary McNamara has kept our Reserve and National Guard forces in a state of confusion for the past several years. His refusal to cooperate with Congress in building up the reserve forces has kept these forces drastically short of equipment, low on morale and unready for combat.

20. *Regular Army Reserve Strength* — Secretary McNamara has let Regular Army strategic reserve strength in the continental U.S. deteriorate to the lowest level since the early days of the Korean War. So large a portion of the Army is either in Vietnam, or engaged in training replacements for Vietnam, that only one full division remains in the U.S. ready to meet emergencies which may develop elsewhere in the world.

21. *Vietnam: Weapon and Ammunition Shortages* — The Senate Preparedness Subcommittee has found that some weapons and equipment for Vietnam are in dangerously short supply, particularly mortars, trucks, troop carriers, aircraft warning systems, bomber guidance systems, and heli-

copters. Much ammunition being sent to Vietnam is defective. According to Hanson Baldwin, military analyst of the *New York Times*, this situation is due to McNamara's reduction of defense stocks, and his failure to provide adequate funds.

22. *Vietnam: Pilot Shortage* — American forces in Vietnam are suffering a severe shortage of both helicopter and aircraft pilots. Sen. John Stennis (D-Miss) points out that the blame for this falls on Robert Strange McNamara's failure to authorize pilot training levels requested by the armed services, and his refusal to call up fully qualified reserve pilots.

23. *Unparalleled Waste* — Behind his carefully developed image of “economizing,” McNamara is the most wasteful Defense Secretary in American history. Rep. Porter Hardy (D-Va.) has shown how McNamara claims “savings” even when he pays more than he has to. A typical example of McNamara economy is the purchase from a German firm, at \$21.00 each, of bombs sold by the Defense Department to the same firm for \$1.70 two years ago.

24. *Public Commitment to Unilateral Disarmament* — Secretary McNamara has been described by liberal columnist James Reston as “more interested in disarmament than any other Secretary of Defense in the world today. The official *Defense Department Digest* of February 1, 1965 quotes McNamara as saying: “I think it would be a tremendous opportunity for us economically and socially to eliminate defense entirely. It would be a social good.”

25. *Support of Nuclear Test Ban Treaty* — In actively supporting this treaty, McNamara intentionally deceived Congress by hiding the results of high altitude nuclear

tests which revealed unexpected threats to our national security in the form of "Electromagnetic Pulse" effects.

26. Punishment of Top Officers Who Tell the Truth—Many of our most valuable military and naval officers have been lost to the service of their country because they dared to differ with Robert Strange McNamara. Adm. George W. Anderson, Chief of Naval Operations, got the axe because he told the truth about the TFX to a Senate subcommittee. Air Force Chief of Staff Gen. Curtis LeMay and NATO Commander General Lauris Norstad were also victims of McNamara's demand that his disastrous policies be blindly supported.

27. Censorship of Patriotism in Officers' Speeches—The American people lost the services of Gen. Edwin Walker because he dared to inform the troops under his command about the nature of the Communist menace. Other officers have had such seemingly innocuous phrases as "Soviet military aggression" and "victory by the free world" censored out of their speeches.



ORDER EXTRA COPIES TODAY

AFNS P.O. Box 1622, Washington, D. C. 20013

Dear AFNS:

Please send me copies of the 128-page book, ROBERT STRANGE McNAMARA. (\$1 each, 4 for \$3, 10 for \$6, 50 for \$25, 100 for \$40)....I enclose \$.....

Please send me copies of this pamphlet (15 for \$1, 100 for \$5).....I enclose \$.....

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

CITY & STATE..... ZIP.....

11/25/67